arXiv:1511.08121v2 [math.DS] 14 Apr 2016

Circular orbit spacecraft control at the L4 point using Lyapunov functions

R Agrawal[1] and R N Banavar[2]

***Abstract*— The objective of this work is to demonstrate the utility of Lyapunov functions in control synthesis for the purpose of maintaining and stabilizing a spacecraft in a circular orbit at the L4 point in the circular restricted three body problem (CR3BP). Incorporating the requirements of a fixed radius orbit and a desired angular momentum, a Lyapunov function is constructed and the requisite analysis is performed to obtain a controller. Asymptotic stability is proved in a defined region around the L4 point with the help of LaSalle's principle.**

I. INTRODUCTION

The restricted three body problem is defined as follows: Two bodies revolve around their center of mass in circular orbits under the influence of their mutual gravitational attraction and a third body (attracted by the previous two but not influencing their motion) moves in the plane defined by the two revolving bodies. The restricted problem of three bodies is to describe the motion of this third body [10].

Libration points are the natural equilibrium solutions of the restricted three-body problem (R3BP).In the last few years, the interest concerning the libration points for space applications has risen within the scientific community [1]. This is because the libration points offer the unique possibility to have a fixed configuration with respect to two primaries. Therefore, a libration point mission could fulfill a lot of mission constraints that are not achievable with the classical Keplerian two-body orbits. Moreover, exploiting the stable and unstable part of the dynamics related to these equilibria, low-energy station keeping missions of practical interest can be obtained.

Considerable work has been done on the CR3BP with linearized dynamics [4] [7] [9]. Work on existence of formation flight trajectories near the triangular libration point in the CR3BP using the linearized equations of motion has been presented in [2]. Feedback linearization techniques have been applied to various formation flights near the vicinity of the libration points [11] [8]. An extensive bibliographical survey of problems in this context can be found in [5].

In [3], a Lyapunov based control is derived to achieve transfer between elliptic Keplerian orbits. Taking inspiration from [3], we attempt to exploit the theory of Lyapunov functions and related stability notions to derive a feedback control law to keep the spacecraft in a circular orbit around the libration point. We use Newton's universal law of gravitation to express the spacecraft dynamics. This approach of using the original nonlinear dynamics of the system to derive the feedback controller has not been adopted in the area of control related to CR3BP.

The document is organized as follows. In the second section we introduce the notations used in the text and derive the dynamics of the spacecraft in the CR3BP. In the third section we define the characteristics of the desired orbit and propose a candidate Lyapunov function. We carry out further computation and analysis to construct a suitable controller so as to render the desired orbit stable. In the fourth section we use LaSalle's invariance principle to prove asymptotic stability of the desired orbit. Finally, in the fifth section, we present the numerical simulation results with respect to the Earth-Moon system.

II. NOTATION AND SYSTEM MODELLING

We first present the notation used in the document and follow this up with a dynamic model.

- m_1, m_2, m_s - two primaries and spacecraft
- $m_1, m_2, L4$ form a plane of rotation - synodic frame
- r_{mj} - distance of m_j from centre of mass (COM) of $m_1 m_2$
- $\boldsymbol{r_c}$ - position vector of L4 point from the COM
- $\boldsymbol{r_{cs}}$ - position vector of spacecraft from L4
- $\boldsymbol{r_{js}}$ - position vector of spacecraft from m_j
- ϕ - angle of rotation of the synodic frame with respect to the sidereal frame
- subscript b - vectors in synodic frame
- subscript i - vectors in inertial frame
- x_i, y_i are along the inertial frame
- x_s, y_s are along the synodic frame
- $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ - angular velocity vector of the two primaries about the COM
- $\hat{\omega}$ - skew symmetric matrix of ω given by

$$\hat{\omega} = \dot{\phi} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

From Newton's universal law of gravitation, we have the dynamics of the satellite expressed as

$$m_3 \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{s_i}} = \frac{k m_1 m_3}{||\boldsymbol{r_{1s_i}}||^2}(-\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{1s_i}}) + \frac{k m_2 m_3}{||\boldsymbol{r_{2s_i}}||^2}(-\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{2s_i}}) \qquad (1)$$

where k is the gravitational constant, $\boldsymbol{r_{1s_i}}$ and $\boldsymbol{r_{2s_i}}$ are the position vectors of the spacecraft in the inertial frame from masses m_1 and m_2 respectively. The sidereal (inertial) and synodic coordinate representations of the position vectors are related by

[1]Rachana Agrawal is with the Department of Aerospace Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

[2]Ravi N Banavar is with Systems and Control Engineering, Indian Institue of Technology Bombay



Fig. 1. The three body system with spacecraft in vicinity of L4 point

$$\boldsymbol{r_i} = R\boldsymbol{r_b} \tag{2}$$

where R is the rotation matrix relating the synodic frame to the sidereal frame, given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} cos\phi & sin\phi & 0 \\ -sin\phi & cos\phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where ϕ denotes the angle of rotation. Note that we are concerned with planar rotations. Differentiating (2) twice, we have

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{s_i}} = \ddot{R}\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{s_b}} + 2\dot{R}\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{s_b}} + R\ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{s_b}} \tag{3}$$

Our objective is to express (1) in the body frame coordinates. We proceed as follows. We substitute (3) in (1). Also,

$$\boldsymbol{r_{1s_i}} = R\boldsymbol{r_{1s_b}} = R(\boldsymbol{r_{1c_b}} + \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}})$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{km_1m_3}{||\boldsymbol{r_{1s_i}}||^3}(-\boldsymbol{r_{1s_i}}) + \frac{km_2m_3}{||\boldsymbol{r_{2s_i}}||^3}(-\boldsymbol{r_{2s_i}}) \\ =&\frac{-Rkm_1m_3}{||\boldsymbol{r_{1s_b}}||^3}(\boldsymbol{r_{1c_b}} + \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}) + \frac{-Rkm_2m_3}{||\boldsymbol{r_{2s_i}}||^3}(\boldsymbol{r_{2c_b}} + \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}})\end{aligned}$$

(1) now reads as

$$\begin{aligned}m_3(\ddot{R}\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{s_b}} + 2\dot{R}\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{s_b}} + R\ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{s_b}}) =&\frac{-Rkm_1m_3}{||\boldsymbol{r_{1s_b}}||^3}(\boldsymbol{r_{1c_b}} + \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}) \\ &+ \frac{-Rkm_2m_3}{||r_{2s_S}||^3}(\boldsymbol{r_{2c_b}} + \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}})\end{aligned}$$

Regrouping terms

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{s_b}} =& - R^{-1}\ddot{R}\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{s_b}} - 2R^{-1}\dot{R}\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{s_b}} \\ &- k(\frac{m_1(\boldsymbol{r_{1c_b}} + \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}})}{||\boldsymbol{r_{1s_b}}||^3} + \frac{m_2(\boldsymbol{r_{2c_b}} + \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}})}{||\boldsymbol{r_{2s_b}}||^3})\end{aligned}$$

and using the expression

$$\dot{R} = R\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}, \quad \ddot{R} = \dot{R}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = R\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} =&\dot{\phi}^2\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} + \dot{\phi}^2\boldsymbol{r_{c_b}} - 2\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \\ &- \frac{km_1}{||\boldsymbol{r_{1s_b}}||^3}(\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} + \boldsymbol{r_{1c_b}}) - \frac{km_2}{||\boldsymbol{r_{2s_b}}||^3}(\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} + \boldsymbol{r_{2c_b}})\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Now (4) represents the natural dynamics of the spacecraft near the L4 point. Note that for the circular restricted three body problem $\dot{\phi}$ is assumed to be a constant and is given by

$$\dot{\phi} = \sqrt{\frac{F_{12}}{m_1 r_{m_1}}} \Rightarrow \ddot{R} = -\dot{\phi}^2 R$$

where F_{12} is the gravitational force exerted by m_2 on m_1. Also

$$\boldsymbol{r_{s_b}} = \boldsymbol{r_{c_b}} + \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \quad \text{with} \quad \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{s_b}} = \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}$$

since $\ddot{r}_{c_b} = 0$ as it is the L4 point and is stationary in the synodic frame.

Now we introduce the control input as follows:

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} = f(\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}, \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) + \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{b}} \tag{5}$$

where $f(\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}, \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}})$ is the whole right hand side of (4), $\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{b}} (\in \mathbb{R}^3)$ denotes the three independent control inputs. Note that in practice control is achieved through force generated by firing thrusters. The control law here has the units of acceleration. The force applied will be acceleration times the mass of spacecraft.

III. Lyapunov function and analysis

For further analysis, we characterize the orbits around the L4 point by a two-tuple $(d, \boldsymbol{L_d})$, where d stands for the desired magnitude of the radius of the orbit and $\boldsymbol{L_d}$ is the desired angular momentum. For a circular orbit of a pre-specified radius around the triangular libration point the candidate Lyapunov function must hence incorporate three objectives:

- The velocity vector is perpendicular to the position vector,
$$\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} = 0$$
- The angular momentum is constant,
$$\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \times \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} = 0$$
- The orbital radius is of a specified magnitude,
$$||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}|| = d$$

Based on these requirements, the following candidate Lyapunov function is selected

$$\begin{aligned}V(\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}, \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) =&\frac{1}{2}(|\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}|^2 + ||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} - \boldsymbol{L_d}||^2) \\ &+ \frac{a}{2}(||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}|| - d)^2\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\boldsymbol{L_d}$ is the desired angular momentum and $a > 0$ is a tuning parameter for control design purpose. Now

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dV}{dt} =&(\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}})(||\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2 + \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) \\ &+ (\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} - \boldsymbol{L_d}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \times \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) \\ &+ a(||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}|| - d)\frac{\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}}{||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||}\end{aligned}$$

Using the identity: $(a\times b)\cdot(c\times d) = (a\cdot c)(b\cdot d)-(a\cdot d)(b\cdot c)$

$$\begin{aligned}(\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \times \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) =&||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||^2(\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) \\ &- (\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}})(\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}})\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dV}{dt} =&(\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}})||\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2 + (\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}})(\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) \\ &+ ||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||^2(\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) - (\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}})(\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) \\ &- \boldsymbol{L_d} \cdot (\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \times \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) + a(||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}|| - d)\frac{\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}}{||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||} \\ =&(\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{r}cs_b)||\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2 + ||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||^2(\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) \\ &- \boldsymbol{L_d} \cdot (\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \times \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) + a(||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}|| - d)\frac{\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}}{||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||}\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Now we substitute (5) in the above equation and evaluate each term of the right hand side seperately to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} =&\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}(\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{km_1}{||\boldsymbol{r_{1s_b}}||^3} - \frac{km_2}{||\boldsymbol{r_{2s_b}}||^3}) \\ &+ \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot (\dot{\phi}^2\boldsymbol{r_{c_b}} - \frac{km_1}{||\boldsymbol{r_{1s_b}}||^3}(\boldsymbol{r_{1c_b}}) \\ &- \frac{km_2}{||\boldsymbol{r_{2s_b}}||^3}(\boldsymbol{r_{2c_b}})) + \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \times \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} =&\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \times (\dot{\phi}^2\boldsymbol{r_{c_b}} - 2\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} - \frac{km_1}{||\boldsymbol{r_{1s_b}}||^3}(\boldsymbol{r_{1c_b}}) \\ &- \frac{km_2}{||\boldsymbol{r_{2s_b}}||^3}(\boldsymbol{r_{2c_b}})) + \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \times \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Evaluating (4) at L4 we get the following identity

$$\dot{\phi}^2\boldsymbol{r_{c_b}} - \frac{km_1}{||\boldsymbol{r_{1c_b}}||^3}(\boldsymbol{r_{1c_b}}) - \frac{km_2}{||\boldsymbol{r_{2c_b}}||^3}(\boldsymbol{r_{2c_b}}) = 0 \tag{10}$$

To simplify further analysis, we now make the following assumption:

Assumption 1: The radius of the orbit of the spacecraft is small compared to the distance of the libration point from the two primaries such that $||\boldsymbol{r_{1s_b}}|| \approx ||\boldsymbol{r_{1c_b}}||(1 + \epsilon_1)$ and $||\boldsymbol{r_{2s_b}}|| \approx ||\boldsymbol{r_{2c_b}}||(1 + \epsilon_2)$ where $|\epsilon_i| << 1$.
□.

With the above assumption and using a binomial identity, (8) and (9) reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} =&\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}(\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{km_1}{||\boldsymbol{r_{1s_b}}||^3} - \frac{km_2}{||\boldsymbol{r_{2s_b}}||^3}) \\ &+ \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot (\frac{km_1 3\epsilon_1}{||\boldsymbol{r_{1c_b}}||^3}\boldsymbol{r_{1c_b}} + \frac{km_2 3\epsilon_2}{||\boldsymbol{r_{2c_b}}||^3}\boldsymbol{r_{2c_b}}) \\ &+ \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \times \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} =&\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \times (-2\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) + \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \times \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{b}} \\ &+ \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \times (\frac{km_1 3\epsilon_1}{||\boldsymbol{r_{1c_b}}||^3}\boldsymbol{r_{1c_b}} + \frac{km_2 3\epsilon_2}{||\boldsymbol{r_{2c_b}}||^3}\boldsymbol{r_{2c_b}})\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\boldsymbol{z} \triangleq \frac{km_1 3\epsilon_1}{||\boldsymbol{r_{1c_b}}||^3}\boldsymbol{r_{1c_b}} + \frac{km_2 3\epsilon_2}{||\boldsymbol{r_{2c_b}}||^3}\boldsymbol{r_{2c_b}} \tag{11}$$

Thus (7) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dV}{dt} =&||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||^2(\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}})(\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{||\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2}{||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||^2} - \frac{km_1}{||\boldsymbol{r_{1s_b}}||^3} \\ &- \frac{km_2}{||\boldsymbol{r_{2s_b}}||^3}) + \boldsymbol{z} \cdot (\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||^2 - \boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}) \\ &- \boldsymbol{L_d} \cdot (\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \times (-2\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}})) + ||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||^2(\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}) \\ &- \boldsymbol{L_d} \cdot (\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \times \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}) + a(||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}|| - d)\frac{\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}}{||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||}\end{aligned}$$

Using the identity: $a \cdot (b \times c) = c \cdot (a \times b)$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dV}{dt} =&||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||^2(\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}})(\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{||\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2}{||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||^2} - \frac{km_1}{||\boldsymbol{r_{1s_b}}||^3} \\ &- \frac{km_2}{||\boldsymbol{r_{2s_b}}||^3}) - (-2\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}) \\ &+ \boldsymbol{z} \cdot (\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||^2 - \boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}) \\ &+ \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{b}} \cdot (\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||^2 - \boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}) \\ &+ a(||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}|| - d)\frac{\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}}{||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||}\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Based on the need to render the time-derivative of the Lyapunov function to be negative semi-definite, we select the controller as

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{b}} =& -\beta(\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||^2 - \boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}) + \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \times \eta \\ &+ q\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} - \frac{a(||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}|| - d)}{||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||^3}\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} - \boldsymbol{z}\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $\beta > 0$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$ are to be chosen on further analysis. Note that the controller is such that the first term renders the fourth term of (12) as negative. However the other terms do remain. Hence the additional two degrees of freedom η and q appear in the proposed form.

Define

$$p \triangleq \dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{||\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2}{||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||^2} - \frac{km_1}{||\boldsymbol{r_{1s_b}}||^3} - \frac{km_2}{||\boldsymbol{r_{2s_b}}||^3} \tag{14}$$

and rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dV}{dt} =& -\beta||(\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||^2 - \boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}})||^2 \\ &+ ||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||^2(\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}})(p + q) \\ &- (-2\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} + \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \times \eta) \cdot (\boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}) \\ &+ (\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \times \eta) \cdot (\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}||^2) - (q\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}})\end{aligned}$$

From the fact that

$$(\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \times \eta) \cdot (\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} ||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2) = 0$$
$$(q\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) = 0$$

and choosing

$$q = -p \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = -2\boldsymbol{\omega} \tag{15}$$

that ensures

$$p + q = 0$$
$$-2\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} + \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \times \eta = 0$$

we have

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = -\beta||(\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} ||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2 - \boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}})||^2 \leq 0 \tag{16}$$

Therefore, the feedback system is stable in the sense of Lyapunov. Now we proceed to prove asymptotic stability of the desired orbit.

IV. Application of LaSalle's invariance principle

To prove asymptotic stability of the desired orbit, we employ LaSalle's invariance principle [6].

Claim 4.1: Consider the positively invariant and compact set

$$\Omega = \{(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}, \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) \in (\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3) \,|\, V(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}, \dot{\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}}) \leq c\} \tag{17}$$

The largest invariant set in

$$E = \{(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}, \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) \in \Omega | \dot{V} = 0\}$$

is $\{(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}, \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) : ||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}|| = d, \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} = \boldsymbol{L_d}\}$.

Proof: From (16) it is clear that the set Ω is positively invariant. Also, from the construction of the set, it is evident that the set is closed and bounded. Since $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3\times3}$, closed and bounded implies compactness.
Now consider the set $E = \{(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}, \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) \in \Omega | \dot{V} = 0\}$. This implies (from (16))

$$-\beta||\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} ||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2 - \boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2 = 0$$

which is

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} ||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2 - \boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} = 0 \tag{18}$$

Taking the dot product of the above equation with $\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}$ yields

$$\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot (\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} ||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2 - \boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) = 0$$
$$(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) ||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2 - \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) = 0$$

The second term vanishes, which gives

$$\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} = 0 \tag{19}$$

Now, taking the dot product of equation (18) with $\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}$ yields

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot (\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} ||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2 - \boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) = 0$$
$$(\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) ||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2 - \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) = 0$$
$$||\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2 ||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2 - \boldsymbol{L_d} \cdot (\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) = 0 \tag{20}$$

Using equation (19) we can write

$$\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} = ||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}|| ||\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}|| \tag{21}$$

Equation (20) can be rewritten as

$$(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) - \boldsymbol{L_d} \cdot (\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) = 0$$
$$\boldsymbol{L_d} = \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \tag{22}$$

Equations (19) and (22) imply that the orbits lying in the limit set E are circular with constant angular momentum. Further, the acceleration term (5) can be reduced as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} =& \dot{\phi}^2 \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{c_b}} - \frac{km_1}{||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{1s_b}}||^3}\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{1c_b}} - \frac{km_2}{||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{2s_b}}||^3}\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{2c_b}} - \frac{||\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2}{||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2}\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \\ & - \beta(\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} ||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2 - \boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) - \frac{a(||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}|| - d)}{||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^3}\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \\ & - (\frac{km_1 3\epsilon_1}{||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{1c_b}}||^3}\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{1c_b}} + \frac{km_2 3\epsilon_2}{||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{2c_b}}||^3}\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{2c_b}})\end{aligned}$$

Using the assumption made earlier ($||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{is_b}}|| \approx ||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{ic_b}}||(1+\epsilon_1)$ with $\epsilon_i << 1$) and equation (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} =& -\beta(\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} ||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2 - \boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) - \frac{||\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2}{||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2}\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \\ & - \frac{a(||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}|| - d)}{||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^3}\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}\end{aligned}$$

When the system approaches steady state the first term in above equation renders zero. So we have following

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} = -\frac{||\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2}{||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2}\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} - \frac{a(||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}|| - d)}{||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^3}\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \tag{23}$$

Since the steady state orbit is a circular orbit with constant speed and angular momentum, the acceleration above should be equal to the centrepetal acceleration

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} = -\frac{||\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2}{||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2}\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} \tag{24}$$

Equations (23) and (24) imply second term in (23) should be zero, which results in

$$||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}|| = d \tag{25}$$

Therefore the steady state orbit is a circular orbit (19) with constant angular momentum (22) and desired radius (25).

Remark 1: The control law (13) is observed to have a feedback linearization structure. It can be written as

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{b}} = -\beta \boldsymbol{e_1} - a\boldsymbol{e_2} - f(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}, \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}) - \frac{||\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2}{||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2}\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}$$

where $e_1 = \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}} ||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^2 - \boldsymbol{L_d} \times \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}$ and $e_2 = \frac{(||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}|| - d)}{||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}||^3}\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}$ represent error in the current state and desired state, $f(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}}, \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{cs_b}})$ is the natural dynamics of the spacecraft given by the right hand side of (4) and the fourth term is the dersired dynamics.

V. Numerical simulations

Simulations were performed for a spacecraft initially located near the Earth-Moon L4 point using a numerical integration scheme. For computing the control law it is required to know the distance of the spacecraft, $||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{1s_b}}||$ and $||\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{2s_b}}||$, from both the primaries. To keep the control



Fig. 2. Case1: Spaceraft tajectory



Fig. 3. Case1: Norm of the control - initial few seconds



Fig. 4. Case1: Norm of the control - just before and after orbit capture



Fig. 5. Case1: Distance of spacecraft from L4



Fig. 6. Case1: Norm of angular momentum - initial few seconds



Fig. 7. Case1: Norm of angular momentum - just before and after orbit capture

magnitude under bounds, a saturation was introduced and the control law implemented was

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \begin{cases} \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{b}} & \text{if } ||\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}|| \leq u_{max} \\ u_{max} \frac{\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}}{||\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}||} & \text{if } ||\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}|| > u_{max} \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

where $\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ is given by (13). Two representative cases are presented here. The first one corresponds to the initial position in the plane of rotation of the two primaries. The second one corresponds to an arbitrary initial position in the 3D space. Parameters and conditions corresponding to both the cases are given below.

Parameter	**Case 1 (2D)**	**Case 2 (3D)**	**Units**
$\boldsymbol{r_{cs_b}}$ at t = 0	[100000 0 0]	[75000 75000 1000]	m
$\boldsymbol{\dot{r}_{cs_b}}$ at t = 0	[0 8000 0]	[100 7500 10]	ms^{-1}
$\boldsymbol{L_d}$	[0 0 80000000]	[0 0 1000000]	$m^2\ s^{-1}$
d	10000	10000	m
u_{max}	500		$m\ s^{-2}$
β	1e-11		$m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$
a	$d^2/10000$		$m_2\ s^{-2}$
$\boldsymbol{\omega}$	[0 0 2.66e-06]		$rad\ s^{-1}$
k	6.673e-11		$N\ m^2\ kg^{-2}$
m_1 Earth's mass	5.972e24		kg
m_2 Moon's mass	7.34767e22		kg

TABLE I

PARAMETERS AND CONDITIONS FOR THE TWO CASES

Figure(2) shows the trajectory of the spacecraft in the first case. It can be seen that it takes about 13.5 hours to converge to the desired orbit. In the initial few seconds the control law shoots to a maximum and then becomes almost zero (fig(3)). It remains close to zero till the spacecraft reaches the desired orbit. Near the desired orbit there is a spike in the control input. The spacecraft distance from the L4 point oscillates about the desired distance and then finally settles down to a circular orbit with radius within 0.5% of the desired radius. This shows that the initial control input provides the spacecraft a momentum such that it goes into a spiral orbit. The sudden spike in the control near desired orbit is similar to orbit insertion burns performed by rocket thrusters in spacecraft to enter an orbit around a planetary body.

The results for the first case of 2D motion are similar to the second case of 3D motion. The trajectory followed by the spacecraft depends on the initial error as it will contribute to the momentum imparted intially. The larger the error, larger is the momentum imparted and hence can lead to larger oscillations about the desired orbit before it stabilizes. Further, in the stable state a continous control input is required to maintain the spacecraft in the orbit. This is the centrepetal force and depends on the desired angular momentum.

VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this work, using Lyapunov theory we have shown that asymptotically stable orbits around the triangular libration point can be achieved with low thrust solutions. The initial thrust is required for a short time to transfer from an arbitrary intial position to a transfer orbit. Subsequent stationkeeping requires negligible thrust. This concept can be extended to transfer from one circular orbit to another.



Fig. 8. Case2: Spaceraft tajectory



Fig. 9. Case2: Norm of control - initial few seconds



Fig. 10. Case2: Norm of the control - just before and after orbit capture



Fig. 11. Case2: Distance of spacecraft from L4



Fig. 12. Case2: Norm of angular momentum - initial few seconds



Fig. 13. Case2: Norm of angular momentum - just before and after orbit capture

This analysis did not include the perturbation effects by solar gravity and other planets. Further work could include these factors and modify the control law accordingly. Also the assumption about ϵ_i requires that we determine a region of stability around the L4 point. This can be done by observing the unstabe manifolds of the collinear libration points. This can then lay the ground work for spacecraft formations.

REFERENCES

[1] E Canalias, G Gomez, M Marcote, and JJ Masdemont. Assessment of mission design including utilization of libration points and weak stability boundaries. *ESA Advanced Concept Team*, 2004.

[2] K A Catlin and C A McLaughlin. Earth-moon triangular libration point spacecraft formations. *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, 44(3):660–670, 2007.

[3] Dong Eui Chang, D F Chichka, and J E Marsden. Lyapunov-based transfer between elliptic keplerian orbits. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series B*, 2(1):57–68, 2002.

[4] A Deprit and A Deprit-Bartholome. Stability of the triangular lagrangian points. *The Astronomical Journal 72 (2)*, 72:173, March 1967.

[5] J Llibre G Gomez, C Simo and Regina Martínez. *Dynamics and Mission Design Near Libration Points, Vol I: Fundamentals: the Case of Collinear Libration Points*. Number v. 1 in World Scientific monograph series in mathematics. World Scientific, 2001.

[6] H K Khalil. *Nonlinear Systems*. Pearson Education. Prentice Hall, 2002.

[7] A M Leontovich. On the stability of the lagrange periodic solutions of the restricted problem of three bodies. *Soviet Math. Dokl. 3*, pages 425–428, 1962.

[8] B G Marchand and K C Howell. Control strategies for formation flight in the vicinity of the libration points. *Journal of guidance, control, and dynamics (AIAA)*, 28(6):1210–1219, 2005.

[9] A P Markeev. On the stability of the triangular libration points in the circular bounded three-body problem: Pmm vol. 33. no. 1, 1969, pp. 112–116. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, 33(1):105–110, 1969.

[10] V Szebehely and E Grebenikov. Theory of orbits-the restricted problem of three bodies. *Astronomicheskii Zhurnal*, 46:459, 1969.

[11] S R Vadali, H W Bae, and K T Alfred. Design and control of libration point satellite formations. *14 AAS/AIAA Space Flight Mechanics Conference*, 2004.